**Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_Tami Harman\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Tool** | **Usability** | **Advantages** | **Issues** | **Comments** |
| **ePortfolio** | -This was easier to use because it does resemble the format used as a paper copy  -Would be easily accessible to teacher and administrator  -Seemed pretty usable to me | -It gave the standards and then allowed teacher to add their specific information  -Easy to use  -The evaluation guide as a good resource  -Collaborated with SAI, IASB, etc. | -Didn’t really find any specific issues that drew a red flag  -Good program | -This seemed practical and user friendly  -I liked this version |
| **IowaPages** | -Seemed more difficult to use, maybe because it didn’t look familiar and we could not get a good look at the complete program  -Seemed less information was available | -Must use a password making it more secure  -Can have multiple websites  -has multi-media components to jazz things up a bit for the creative ones | -Cost, although it wasn’t much  -It didn’t seem easy to use, took longer to access the program | -This did not appear to be as user friendly but I could not get very far to see how it really looked and what all was available |

**Reflection: The ePortfolio was my choice, it seemed formatted to easily be used to keep track of data and resources to align with the standards. I’m not a huge computer fan, but the Iowa Pages had too many bells and whistles for me to worry about using or adding to my portfolio.**